AGENDA Accreditation Study Work Group Meeting Wednesday, June 16, 2004

	Housekeeping & Charge to Group	
10:00- 12:00	Welcome: Beth Graybill, Director, Professional Services Division and Lawrence Birch, Administrator of Accreditation.	
	2. Study Group Members and Staff Introductions. Each study group member and Commission staff will introduce themselves, their role within their respective organizations or institutions, any background each would like to share, and level of understanding and/or involvement in accreditation.	
	3. Get acquainted activity.	
	4. Identification of Co-Facilitators, Discussion of the Role of Co-Facilitators	
	5. Guiding Principles, overview of charge to the group, expectations, and general timeline	
	6. Agreement on Meeting Dates	
	7. Agreements on Conducting Future Meetings	
	Discussion of Group Norms	
	◆ Reaching Consensus/Voting Issue	
	• Role of substitutes	
	• Development of Agreements for Follow-through	
	 Meeting Notes 	
	8. Goals/Objectives for this first meeting – What do we want to accomplish?	
	9. Most/Least Discussion. Each study group member will discuss the one concern or issue they most want the accreditation review to address or do and one action or issue they least want the work group to support or recommend to the COA.	
12:00- 1:00	LUNCH	
	Context for Accreditation of Educator Preparation	
1:00-	Discussion of context for accreditation review	Chart issues as
3:00	♦ Historical	raised
	 ◆ Current Framework 	Chart topics

	 AIR study Budget/funding External Policy Environment – accountability trends Other processes Walk through <i>Accreditation Framework</i>- (Education Code → CCTC & Accreditation Framework → COA & Accreditation Handbook) 	where additional data is needed
3:00- 3:15	BREAK	
3:15-	Issues to Consider- Discussion	
5:00	1. Discussion of Issues to Consider	
	 Identification of strengths and weaknesses of current system 	Chart issues,
	 Review issues/credential areas for consideration 	topics, action
	• What are the truly complex areas in accreditation? How will we attempt to address these?	and consensus for use in developing the
	• What are the general trends in accreditation? Where does the Commission process align with these trends, fall short?	work plan
	What do policymakers and the public expect from accreditation? Where does the Commission's process align with and fall short of these expectations?	

AGENDA Accreditation Study Work Group Meeting Thursday, June 17, 2004

0.20.11.20	Work Plan Development	
8:30-11:30	1. Development of work plan	Chart issues,
	 Issues, actions needed to move forward, sequencing of topics to be addressed 	actions required, sequencing of
	 Discussion about field review needs. 	topics to be addressed.
	• Agreements to this point	addressed.
11:30-12:30	LUNCH	
12:30-2:30	Next Steps	3371 4 1 4 1
	1. Development of topics to be discussed at August meeting.	What needs to be done prior to the
	 What materials/data will be needed? 	August meeting?
	Agenda for August meeting	